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#### Abstract

A model governing a steady flow of a viscoplastic material between coaxial cylinders is proposed. Nonlinear velocity sensitivity typical of superplastic materials is taken into account. An algorithm of calculating the characteristics of the material is developed. The algorithm is based on the experimental data on moments and angular velocities of the rotating coaxial cylinders. The stability of the algorithm to errors in the initial data is estimated.


Introduction. In some technological processes of plastic metal working, for example, in producing articles by deep drawing, a developed plastic flow occurs. A viscoplastic flow is also observed in producing polymer alloys by mechanical activation in mixers and extruders; a similar flow occurs in a grease layer between machine parts or in a paint layer in printers. Viscous flow is typical of the most technological processes based on the superplasticity phenomenon $[1,2]$.

In mathematical simulation and optimization of the aforementioned technological processes, it is necessary to know viscoplastic characteristics of the material. To this end, experiments are performed to study the shear state, which occurs, for example, under conditions of the material flow in the clearance between rotating coaxial cylinders (Couette flow) [3, 4]. In these experiments, the angular velocities and moments of rotation of the cylinders are recorded, and the material constants are determined by processing experimental data.

In calculations, a viscoplastic model with nonlinear viscosity and zero yield point is usually used. However, taking into account the critical stress is of principal importance. It is, therefore, necessary to develop a method of determining the characteristics of a viscoplastic material with allowance for the critical stress and nonlinear velocity sensitivity. One of these methods is considered in the present paper.

Formulation of the Problem. We consider a steady flow of a viscoplastic material in the clearance between infinetely long rigid coaxial cylinders of radii $a$ and $b(b>a)$, which rotate with angular velocities $\omega_{a}$ and $\omega_{b}$ about the common axis under the action of the moments $M_{a}$ and $M_{b}$, respectively (Fig. 1).

Let the circumferential velocity of the flow be a continuous and monotonic function of the radius in the entire region occupied by the material and adhesion conditions be specified on the boundaries. Leonova [5] determined the conditions under which stagnation zones are absent for the motion of a viscoplastic medium between coaxial cylinders. The values of parameters used in the numerical experiments considered below satisfy these conditions.

We introduce a cylindrical system of coordinates $(r, \varphi, z)$ in such a manner that the $z$ axis is the axis of rotation of the cylinders. In this case, the equations governing the motion of the medium in the clearance have the form [4]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \sigma_{r r}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{r \varphi}}{\partial \varphi}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{r z}}{\partial z}+\frac{\sigma_{r r}-\sigma_{\varphi \varphi}}{r}+\rho F_{r}=\rho a_{r}, \\
\frac{\partial \sigma_{\varphi r}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{\varphi \varphi}}{\partial \varphi}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{\varphi z}}{\partial z}+\frac{2}{r} \frac{\sigma_{\varphi r}}{r}+\rho F_{\varphi}=\rho a_{\varphi},  \tag{1}\\
\frac{\partial \sigma_{z r}}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \sigma_{z \varphi}}{\partial \varphi}+\frac{\sigma_{z r}}{r}+\rho F_{z}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{z z}}{\partial z}=\rho a_{z},
\end{gather*}
$$
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Fig. 1. Model of interaction between coaxial cylinders and a viscoplastic material in the clearance.
where $\sigma_{i j}$ is the stress tensor, $\rho$ is the density of the medium, $F_{i}$ are the mass forces, and $a_{i}$ are the components of the acceleration vector of the material particles.

We use the following relations for an incompressible material:

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{i j}=\sigma_{i j}-\sigma \delta_{i j}=(2 \tau / H) \xi_{i j}, \quad \sigma=\sigma_{k k} / 3  \tag{2}\\
\tau=\tau_{0}+K\left(H / \dot{\varepsilon}_{0}\right)^{m} \quad \text { for } \tau \geqslant \tau_{0}, \quad H=0 \quad \text { for } \quad \tau<\tau_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\xi_{i j}$ are the components of the strain-rate tensor deviator, $S_{i j}$ are the components of the stress tensor deviator, $\delta_{i j}$ is the Kronecker symbol, $\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}$ is the characteristic strain rate, $\tau_{0}$ is the yield point (critical stress), $\tau$ is the shear-stress intensity, $H$ is the intensity of the shear-strain rates, and $m$ and $K$ are the material constants.

Boundary Conditions. We assume that the kinematic boundary conditions of adhesion are satisfied. Thus, the boundary conditions for the velocity field $U_{r}, U_{\varphi}, U_{z}$ have the form $U_{\varphi}(a)=\omega_{a} a$ and $U_{\varphi}(b)=\omega_{b} b$.

The aim of this study is to determine parameters of the mathematical model of a viscoplastic material $\tau_{0}$, $K$, and $m$ for the known parameters $\omega_{a}, \omega_{b}, M_{a}$, and $M_{b}$.

Method of the Solution. It follows from the formulation of the problem that $U_{z}=U_{r}=0$ and $U_{\varphi}=U_{\varphi}(r)$. Therefore, the only nonzero component of the acceleration is $a_{r}=-U_{\varphi}^{2} / r$ and the only nonzero component of the strain-rate tensor is $\xi_{r \varphi}=\left(d U_{\varphi} / d r-U_{\varphi} / r\right) / 2$. By virtue of (2), the stress tensor becomes

$$
\sigma_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-p & \sigma_{r \varphi} & 0  \tag{3}\\
\sigma_{r \varphi} & -p & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -p
\end{array}\right)
$$

With allowance for (1) and (3), we obtain the equations of motion of a continuum

$$
\frac{d p}{d r}=-\rho \frac{U_{\varphi}^{2}}{r}, \quad \frac{d \sigma_{r \varphi}}{d r}+2 \frac{\sigma_{r \varphi}}{r}=0
$$

where $p$ is the pressure. Integrating the last equation, we infer that, regardless of the determining relation of the material, the relation $\sigma_{r \varphi}=C_{1} / r^{2}$ holds, where $C_{1}$ is the integration constant, which generally depends on time.

We calculate the shear-stress intensity $\tau=\sqrt{S_{i j} S_{i j} / 2}=\left|\sigma_{r \varphi}\right|=\left|C_{1}\right| / r^{2}$. The intensity of the shear-strain rates is given by $H=\sqrt{2 \xi_{i j} \xi_{i j}}=r\left|d\left(U_{\varphi} / r\right) / d r\right|$.

Solution of the Direct Problem. We consider the solution of the direct problem (solutions of the direct problems for linear and nonlinear viscous liquids and Shvedov-Bingham plastic are given in [6]).

Taking (2) into account, from the expression for $\sigma_{r \varphi}$, we obtain

$$
\tau_{0}+K r^{m} \frac{1}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}^{m}}\left(\frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{U_{\varphi}}{r}\right)\right)^{m}=\frac{C_{1}}{r^{2}}
$$

since $H=r\left|d\left(U_{\varphi} / r\right) / d r\right|$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \frac{d}{d r}\left(\frac{U_{\varphi}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0} r}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{K}\right)^{n}\left(\frac{C_{1}}{r^{2}}-\tau_{0}\right)^{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=1 / m$.

Integration of (4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U_{\varphi}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}}=\frac{r}{(K)^{n}} \int \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{C_{1}}{r^{2}}-\tau_{0}\right)^{n} d r . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For numerical calculations, we choose the following parameters: $a=10 \mathrm{~cm}, \tau=100 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$, and $\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}=$ $10^{-1} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. We introduce the dimensionless quantities $K^{\prime}=(K / \tau) \cdot 10^{3}, \tau_{0}^{\prime}=\left(\tau_{0} / \tau\right) \cdot 10^{3}, U_{\varphi}^{\prime}=U_{\varphi} /\left(a \dot{\varepsilon}_{0}\right)$, $r^{\prime}=r / a, H^{\prime}=H / \dot{\varepsilon}_{0}$, and $C_{1}^{\prime}=\left(C_{1} /\left(\tau a^{2}\right)\right) \cdot 10^{3}$.

In the dimensionless variables, equality (5) is written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U_{\varphi}^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{K^{\prime}}\right)^{n} \int \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (6) into the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{\varphi}^{\prime}(b / a) / r^{\prime} & =\omega_{b} / \dot{\varepsilon}_{0}  \tag{7}\\
U_{\varphi}^{\prime}(1) / r^{\prime} & =\omega_{a} / \dot{\varepsilon}_{0} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and subtracting (8) from (7), we obtain the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime(1)}}{K^{\prime}}\right)^{n} \int_{1}^{b / a} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime}=\frac{\Delta \omega b a}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta \omega b a=\omega_{b}-\omega_{a}$ and $C_{1}^{\prime(i)}$ is the value of the constant determined in the $i$ th experiment.
Performing two additional numerical experiments, we obtain two similar equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime(2)}}{K^{\prime}}\right)^{n} \int_{1}^{b / a} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{n}}{C_{1}^{\prime(2)}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime}=\frac{\Delta \omega^{(2)} b a}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}}  \tag{10}\\
& \left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime(3)}}{K^{\prime}}\right)^{n} \int_{1}^{b / a} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime(3)}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime}=\frac{\Delta \omega^{(3)} b a}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Eliminating $K^{\prime}$ by dividing (9) and (10) by (11), we arrive at two equations for two unknowns

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{1}^{b / a} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime(1)}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime}-\frac{\Delta \omega^{(1)} b a}{\Delta \omega^{(3)} b a}\left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime(3)}}{C_{1}^{\prime(1)}}\right)^{n} \int_{1}^{b / a} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime(3)}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime}=0  \tag{12}\\
& \int_{1}^{b / a} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime(2)}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime}-\frac{\Delta \omega^{(2)} b a}{\Delta \omega^{(3)} b a}\left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime(3)}}{C_{1}^{\prime(2)}}\right)^{n} \int_{1}^{b / a} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime(3)}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime}=0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}^{\prime(i)}$ are determined from the equations

$$
\int_{1}^{b / a} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime(i)}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime}=\left(\frac{K^{\prime}}{C_{1}^{\prime(i)}}\right)^{n} \frac{\Delta \omega^{(i)} b a}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}}, \quad i=1,2,3
$$

We reduce the last equation to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C_{1}^{\prime(i)}}=\frac{1}{K^{\prime}}\left[\left(\frac{\Delta \omega b a}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}}\right)^{-1} \int_{1}^{b / a} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime(i)}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime}\right]^{1 / n} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We solve these equations for the independent variable which enters the integral by the simple iterative method. Using the iterative formula $1 / C_{1 n+1}^{\prime(i)}=\varphi\left(C_{1 n}^{\prime(i)}\right)$, where $\varphi\left(C_{1 n}^{\prime(i)}\right)$ is the right side of Eq. (14), we find $C_{1}^{\prime(i)}$. Changing the variable $1 / C_{1}^{\prime(i)}=x$, we obtain

$$
x_{n+1}=\frac{1}{K^{\prime}}\left[\left(\frac{\Delta \omega b a}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}}\right)^{-1} \int_{1}^{b / a} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-x_{n} \tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime}\right]^{1 / n}
$$



Fig. 2. The critical stresses $\tau_{01}^{\prime}$ (curves 1) and $\tau_{02}^{\prime}$ (curves 2) versus the parameter $n$ in the neighborhood of the intersection point $\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)$ : (a) $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=400$ and $n=1.3$; (b) $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=700$ and $n=3.4$.

Introducing the new variables $K^{\prime}=10^{13 / 3} K_{1}$ and $x=x_{1} / 10^{13 / 3}$, we obtain

$$
x_{1, n+1}=\frac{1}{K_{1}}\left[\left(\frac{\Delta \omega b a}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}}\right)^{-1} \int_{1}^{b / a} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{x_{1, n} \tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{10^{13 / 3}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime}\right]^{1 / n}
$$

The calculations show that, for $K_{1}=1, \Delta \omega b a / \dot{\varepsilon}_{0}=1,0.5$, and 0.25 , and $n=1.1-4.0$ and $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=100-6000$, the quantity $x_{1}$ is of order of unity. The values of $x_{1}$ were calculated with an accuracy of $10^{-9}$. This accuracy is satisfied after 10 iterations for any $n$ and small values of $\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}=100-1000\right)$ and after about 20 iterations for large values of $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$.

The effect of an error in specifying the initial data on the convergence of the algorithm was studied. If the initial data deviate from their exact values by $10-30 \%$, the number of iterations is equal to 6 or 7 for small values $\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}=100-1000\right)$ and $20-25$ for $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=3000-6000$.

Solution of the Inverse Problem. Leonova [5] proposed a method for verification of the model of a linear-viscoplastic material. The problem considered in the present paper is more complex. To solve it, the following method is proposed. The values of the functions $F_{1}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)$ and $F_{2}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)$, which are the left sides of Eqs. (12) and (13), are calculated and the intervals where these functions change their sign are found. The curves of intersection of the plane $z=0$ with the surfaces $z=F_{1}$ and $z=F_{2}$ are determined by the bisection method. The points of intersection of these curves are found. To solve this problem, the left sides of Eqs. (12) and (13) were calculated for different values of $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ and $n$. For specific values of $x_{1}$, the functions $F_{1}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)$ and $F_{2}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)$ that enter (12) and (13) were calculated for the step in $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ equal to 100 . In particular, calculations were performed for five pairs of values: 1) $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=400$ and $n=1.3$; 2) $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=800$ and $n=1.6$; 3) $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=1000$ and $n=1.9$; 4) $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=400$ and $n=2.3$; 5) $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=700$ and $n=3.4$.

The regions in which the functions $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ change their sign were determined and the roots of the equations $F_{1}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)=0$ and $F_{2}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)=0$ were found by the bisection method. It was shown that each curve of intersection of the surface $z=F_{1}(i=1,2)$ with the plane $z=0$ is close to a straight line and it is a single-valued function of $\tau_{0}^{\prime}(n)$. The solution was determined with a high accuracy. In all the cases, for a fixed value of $n$, the functions $F_{1}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)$ and $F_{2}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)$ decrease as $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ increases and increase with $n$.

To find common points of the functions $F_{1}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)=0$ and $F_{2}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)=0$, the graphs of these functions were plotted in the coordinates $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ and $n$.

The behavior of the functions $\tau_{01}^{\prime}=f_{1}(n)$ and $\tau_{02}^{\prime}=f_{2}(n)$ in the neighborhood of the point of their intersection was also studied. It was found that the derivatives of the functions differ by approximately a factor of 1.5 at the points of intersection of the curves $\tau_{01}^{\prime}(n)$ and $\tau_{02}^{\prime}(n)$. It follows that these curves do not coincide with one another in the neighborhood of the intersection points. For $n=1.1-4.0$ and $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=100-6000$, no other points of intersection of the curves $F_{1}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)=0$ and $F_{2}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)=0$ were found.

Figure 2 shows the curves $\tau_{01}^{\prime}(n)$ and $\tau_{02}^{\prime}(n)\left[F_{1}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)=0\right.$ and $\left.F_{2}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}, n\right)=0\right]$ in the neighborhood of their intersection points.

We consider the approximate solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U_{\varphi}^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{K^{\prime}}\right)^{n} \int \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}\left(1-\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{C_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{n} d r^{\prime} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that the integral in (15) cannot be evaluated analytically for an arbitrary $n$. Taking into account that $\tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2} / C_{1}^{\prime}<1$, performing expansion using the binomial theorem, and retaining two terms in the series, we obtain the approximate expression for $U_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U_{\varphi}^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{K^{\prime}}\right)^{n}\left[\int \frac{d r^{\prime}}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n+1}}-\frac{n \tau_{0}^{\prime}}{C_{1}^{\prime}} \int \frac{d r^{\prime}}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n-1}}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\left(\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}}{C_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \int \frac{d r^{\prime}}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n-3}}\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating and multiplying both sides of (16) by $r^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
U_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{K^{\prime}}\right)^{n}\left[-\frac{1}{2 n} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n-1}}+\frac{n \tau_{0}^{\prime}}{C_{1}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{2 n-2} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n-3}}-\frac{n(n-1)}{2(2 n-4)}\left(\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}}{C_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2 n-5}}+C_{2}^{\prime} r^{\prime}\right]
$$

The constants $C_{1}^{\prime}$ and $C_{2}^{\prime}$ are found from the kinematic boundary conditions $U_{\varphi}(a)=\omega_{a} a$ and $U_{\varphi}(b)=\omega_{b} b$ or $U_{\varphi}^{\prime}(1)=\omega_{a} / \dot{\varepsilon}_{0}$ and $U_{\varphi}(b / a)=\omega_{b} b /\left(a \dot{\varepsilon}_{0}\right)$. The boundary condition implies

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{\varphi}^{\prime}(1)=\left(\frac{C_{2}^{\prime}}{K^{\prime}}\right)^{n}\left[-\frac{1}{2 n}+\frac{n \tau_{0}^{\prime}}{C_{1}^{\prime}} \frac{1}{2 n-2}-\frac{n(n-1)}{2(2 n-4)}\left(\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}}{C_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+C_{2}^{\prime}\right]=\frac{\omega_{a}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}}  \tag{16a}\\
U_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)=\left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{K}\right)^{n}\left[-\frac{1}{2 n}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2 n-1}+\frac{n \tau_{0}^{\prime}}{2 n-2} \frac{1}{C_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2 n-3}-\frac{n(n-1)}{2(2 n-4)}\left(\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}}{C_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2 n-5}+C_{2}^{\prime} \frac{b}{a}\right)=\frac{\omega_{b}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}} \frac{b}{a} . \tag{16b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Dividing (16b) by $b / a$ and subtracting (16a) from (16b), we obtain the equation for $C_{1}^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{C_{1}^{\prime}}{K^{\prime}}\right)^{n}\left[\frac{1}{2 n}\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2 n}\right)+\frac{n \tau_{0}^{\prime}}{2 n-2} \frac{1}{C_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2 n-2}-1\right)+\frac{n(n-1)}{2(2 n-4)}\left(\frac{\tau_{0}^{\prime}}{C_{1}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\left(1-\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2 n-4}\right)\right]=\frac{\omega_{b}^{\prime}-\omega_{a}^{\prime}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}}=\frac{\Delta \omega^{\prime} b a}{\dot{\varepsilon}_{0}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the parameters $\tilde{x}=1-(a / b)^{2 n}, \tilde{y}=(a / b)^{2 n-2}-1$, and $\tilde{z}=1-(a / b)^{2 n-4}$. Then, Eq. (17) becomes

$$
\frac{\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{n}}{2 n} \tilde{x}+\frac{n \tau_{0}^{\prime}}{2 n-2} \tilde{y}\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{n-1}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2(2 n-4)}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(C_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{n-2} \tilde{z}=\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{n} \Delta \omega^{\prime} b a
$$

Since the data of one experiment determine only one equation for $n, \tau_{0}^{\prime}$, and $K^{\prime}$, to determine the parameters of the model it is necessary to perform three experiments for different angular velocities of coaxial cylinders. As a result, we obtain the system of three equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left(C_{1}^{\prime(1)}\right)^{n}}{2 n} \tilde{x}+\frac{n \tau_{0}^{\prime}}{2 n-2} \tilde{y}\left(C_{1}^{\prime(1)}\right)^{n-1}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2(2 n-4)}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(C_{1}^{\prime(1)}\right)^{n-2} \tilde{z}=\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{n} \Delta \omega^{(1)} b a \\
& \frac{\left(C_{1}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{n}}{2 n} \tilde{x}+\frac{n \tau_{0}^{\prime}}{2 n-2} \tilde{y}\left(C_{1}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{n-1}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2(2 n-4)}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(C_{1}^{\prime(2)}\right)^{n-2} \tilde{z}=\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{n} \Delta \omega^{(2)} b a  \tag{18}\\
& \frac{\left(C_{1}^{\prime(3)}\right)^{n}}{2 n} \tilde{x}+\frac{n \tau_{0}^{\prime}}{2 n-2} \tilde{y}\left(C_{1}^{\prime(3)}\right)^{n-1}+\frac{n(n-1)}{2(2 n-4)}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(C_{1}^{\prime(3)}\right)^{n-2} \tilde{z}=\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{n} \Delta \omega^{(3)} b a
\end{align*}
$$

Dividing the first equation in (18) by the second and third equations, we obtain two equations for $n_{1}$ and $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$. For $\Delta \omega^{\prime(1)} b a /\left(\Delta \omega^{\prime(2)} b a\right)=2$ and $\Delta \omega^{\prime(1)} b a /\left(\Delta \omega^{\prime(3)} b a\right)=4$ and $C_{1}^{\prime(i)}=10^{13 / 3} x_{i}$, these equations become

$$
\begin{align*}
& 10^{-13 / 3} \frac{\tilde{x}}{2 n}\left(\left(x_{1}\right)^{n}-2\left(x_{2}\right)^{n}\right)+\frac{n \tau_{0}^{\prime} \tilde{y}}{2 n-2}\left(\left(x_{1}\right)^{n-1}-2\left(x_{2}\right)^{n-1}\right) \\
& \quad+10^{-26 / 3} \frac{n(n-1)}{2(2 n-4)}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \tilde{z}\left(\left(x_{1}\right)^{n-2}-2\left(x_{2}\right)^{n-2}\right)=0  \tag{19}\\
& 10^{-13 / 3} \frac{\tilde{x}}{2 n}\left(\left(x_{1}\right)^{n}-4\left(x_{3}\right)^{n}\right)+\frac{n \tau_{0}^{\prime} \tilde{y}}{2 n-2}\left(\left(x_{1}\right)^{n-1}-4\left(x_{3}\right)^{n-1}\right) \\
& \quad+10^{-26 / 3} \frac{n(n-1)}{2(2 n-4)}\left(\tau_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \tilde{z}\left(\left(x_{1}\right)^{n-2}-4\left(x_{3}\right)^{n-2}\right)=0 \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Expressing $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ from Eq. (19) in terms of $n$ and substituting it into Eq. (20), we obtain an equation for one variable $n$. Using the iteration scheme $n_{m+1}=n_{m}+F\left(n_{m}, \tau_{0}^{\prime}\left(n_{m}\right)\right)$, one can find the root $n$.

The stability of the algorithm was studied. In the interval $n=1.05-4$, arithmetical means equal to the deviations of $n_{1}, \tau_{0}^{\prime}$, and $K^{\prime}$ from the exact values were calculated with a step of 0.05 . For this purpose, 100 experiments with a random deviation of 1,2 , and $3 \%$ in $x_{i}$ were performed.

The calculations show that, in the entire range of $n$, the accuracy in determining $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ is unsatisfactory for small values of $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ (the error exceeds $1000 \%$ ), but this has little effect on the calculation of $n$ and $K^{\prime}$. The values of $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ comparable to $K$ are determined with a better accuracy. The possible reason is that, in the equation for $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$, the terms containing $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ are small compared to the first term, which is independent of this parameter.

If the moments deviate from the exact values by less than $1 \%$, the measurement error does not exceed $10 \%$. For deviations of 2 and $3 \%$, the error is smaller than 20 and $30 \%$, respectively. The algorithm proposed can be used for $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=11,000-22,000$ provided the other constants $n$ and $K^{\prime}$ correspond to superplastic materials.

Verification of the Method Using Experimental Data. To verify the method proposed, experiments were performed using the rotational viscometer Rheotest 2.1, in which the Couette flow was obtained. As a viscoplastic material, we used a grease based on the I-40A industrial oil with surfactants and stiffeners. Experiments were performed at $t=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the range of shear stresses of $30-170 \mathrm{~Pa}$. The ratio of the inner and outer radii of the coaxial cylinders was 0.98 .

The following angular velocities were specified in the experiments: $\Delta \omega_{b a}^{1}=-25.434 \sec ^{-1}, \Delta \omega_{b a}^{2}=$ $-8.378 \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$, and $\Delta \omega_{b a}^{3}=-4.71 \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. The following shear stresses corresponding to these velocities were recorded: $\left.\sigma_{r \varphi}^{1}\right|_{r=a}=c_{1} / a^{2}=169.4 \mathrm{~Pa},\left.\sigma_{r \varphi}^{2}\right|_{r=a}=72.6 \mathrm{~Pa}$, and $\left.\sigma_{r \varphi}^{3}\right|_{r=a}=49.7 \mathrm{~Pa}$. Using the above-proposed method based on solving a system of nonlinear algebraic equations, we found the dimensionless material constants $n, \tau_{0}$, and $K^{\prime}$.

For calculations, we used the characteristic values of the parameters $\tau=10 \mathrm{~Pa}, \dot{\varepsilon}=1 \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$, and $a=1 \mathrm{~cm}$ which correspond to the dimensionless parameters $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=100 \tau_{0}, c_{1}^{\prime}=100 c_{1}$, and $K^{\prime}=100 K$. The following material constants were obtained: $\tau_{0}^{\prime}=79.3, n=3.73$, and $K^{\prime}=19.51$. Since $\tau_{0}$ is of the same order as the parameter $K$, the solution is expected to be stable, which is supported by the calculations considered above.

It was found that a $1 \%$ error in measuring the shear stresses leads to errors of $3,2-6$, and $3-10 \%$ in determining the parameters $n, \tau_{0}^{\prime}$, and $K^{\prime}$, respectively. Since the material constants were unknown, a fourth experiment was performed to solve the direct problem and compare the calculated shear stress with its experimental value. For example, the shear stress was calculated to be $\left.\sigma_{r \varphi}\right|_{r=a}=36.3 \mathrm{~Pa}$ for $\Delta \omega_{b a}^{4}=-2.926 \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. In solving the direct problem, the shear stress was determined with a relative error of $5 \%$, which shows that the method developed provides a high accuracy.

The constants obtained by solving the exact equations for the same angular velocities and shear stresses differ from the approximate values by $10 \%$ or smaller. Consequently, the approximate method can be used to estimate the characteristics of a viscoplastic material.

Conclusions. An algorithm of identifying the model of a viscoplastic material from experimental data on the Couette flow is proposed. It was found that the algorithm of determining the moment of rotation of coaxial cylinders $C_{1}^{(i)}$ is stable and converges in the range of $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ studied. To find the solution with an accuracy of up to $10^{-9}, 25$ iterations are required. Equations for finding $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ and $n$ are such that a single root exists in the range of $\tau_{0}^{\prime}$ and $n$ considered, whereas each curve of intersection of the function $z_{i}=F_{i}(x, y)$ with the plane $z_{i}=0$ is close to a straight line.

Experimental verification of the method proposed by studying a viscoplastic grease under the conditions of the Couette flow using a rotational viscometer shows that the material constants are determined with an accuracy sufficient for practical purposes.
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